Appl. No. 09/437,590 Amdt. Dated 03/05/2004

Reply to Office action of December 5, 2003

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is in response to the Office Action mailed December 5, 2003. In the Office Action, claims 87-95 were allowed, claims 77-79, 84-86, and 102 were objected to as being dependent on a rejected base claim. In response, claims 77, 78, 84, 86 and 102 have been placed into independent form to include certain limitations of their base and intervening claims. Moreover, claims 74-76, 81-83 and 97-100 have been revised to now depend on one of the newly formed independent claims listed above. Claims 73, 96 and 101 have been cancelled without prejudice.

Applicant respectfully requests withdrawal of all outstanding rejections and objections since the revisions now place all claims in condition for allowance.

## Conclusion

Applicant respectfully requests that a timely Notice of Allowance be issued in this case.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Dated: 03/05/2004

William W. Schaal

Reg. No. 39,018

Tel.: (714) 557-3800 (Pacific Coast)

12400 Wilshire Boulevard, Seventh Floor Los Angeles, California 90025

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION (37 CFR 1.8A)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

**MAILING** 

**FACSIMILE** 

If deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450,

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the Patent and Trademark Office.

Date

Date: 03/05/2004

03/05/2004